

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/535,790	03/29/2000	Deirdre O'Shea	1	99-032	7017	
22927	7590 12/12	2002				
WALKER DIGITAL			Γ	EXAMINER		
FIVE HIGH RIDGE PARK				YOUNG, JOHN L		
STAMFORD	, C1 06905			,		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3622	a	
			D	ATE MAILED: 12/12/2002	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

09/535,790



UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-		٦		EXAMINER
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED:	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.	Applicant(s)

Application No. 09/535,790

O'Shea et al.

Interview Summary

Examiner

Art Unit

3622 John Young



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO) personnel):		
(1) John Young	(3)		
(2) Mike Downs	(4)		
Date of Interview Dec 3, 2002			
	_		
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant	2) applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) (e) 🛮 No. If yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: 1			
Identification of prior art discussed: Christensen & Kanter			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	d. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	al nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or		
Discussed claim 1 variation condition elements and update	ing coupon elements and breadth of claim 1 in view of applied		
	n. Examiner suggested narrowing by including various items		
from the specification which may obviate the prior art of			
response, that pointing to specific cites in the prior office record.	action which may be deficient may also obviate the prior art of		
record.			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ndments which the examiner agreed would render the claims o copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is		
i) 🛮 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).		
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FF	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST IPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has ROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE ord of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is			
an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required		